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## Foreword

Bangladesh is predominantly an agricultural country. The agriculture sector has been dominating the economy of Bangladesh. Food security of the country is critically dependent on the domestic production of crops.

Crop Production has a significant relation to production cost. Every year government declares procurement prices before harvesting time for different crops. UCPSCP Project of Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics has undertaken the survey of 10 crops ( 6 major crops and 4 minor crops) with an aim to estimate the cost of production.

I am happy to know that the UCPSCP Project performed successfully to conduct these surveys for the first time. I hope that the data presented in the publication would be helpful for the policy formulation and planning process of the country.

I extend my thanks to the Director General, BBS, the Project Director and other officials who worked hard to prepare the report.

Dhaka,
Riti Ibrahim
December,2010

## Director General Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics <br> Ministry of Planning

## Preface

Agriculture is the basic culture of Bangladesh. From the time immemorial, the main source of livelihood of the population of this land is agriculture. It plays an important role in the economic development of the country and has a great contribution to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP).

Crop production largely depends on weather variables such as rainfall, temperature, humidity etc. Moreover, Bangladesh is known as a country of natural calamity in the world. Government is fully aware of natural disaster. Government has been allocating considerable annual budget for the development of agriculture and launching different programmes one after another in order to boost up crop production.

In order to formulate proper policy and planning for the development of agriculture sector reliable and realistic data regarding production cost of crops by different phases such as leasing value of the land, land preparation, seeds/ seedlings, weeding, insecticides, fertilizers, harvesting, drying etc. are needed. Keeping these issues in active consideration, the UCPSCP Project under the control of the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS) has been given the responsibility of surveying 10 crops (Aus, Aman, Boro, Jute, Wheat, Potato, Maize, Oil Seeds, Onion and Pulses) for the first time for deriving the cost of production of crops by interviewing farmers in field.

I express my deep gratitude to the members of the Technical Committee who rendered technical guidance for the selection of sampling units and finalization of questionnaire for the survey purpose and other survey matters.

I would like to thank all those who are associated in different works of the survey. I take opportunity to convey thanks to Mrs. Salima Sultana, Project Director and other officers and staff members of BBS who worked very sincerely to finalize the report.
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## Key Findings: At a glance

| SL. <br> No. | Items of study | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Percentage of household having Maize cultivation in the sample area | 2.40 |
| 2. | Percentage of household growing Maize by land tenure: |  |
|  | a. Own | 73.52 |
|  | b. Share cropping | 12.08 |
|  | c. Mortgage | 2.04 |
|  | d. Lease | 4.89 |
|  | e. Others | 7.48 |
| 3. | Yield of Maize per acre(in kilograms) | 2464 |
| 4. | Number of labourers employed by component for per acre production of Maize: |  |
|  | a. weeding | 11 |
|  | b. Harvesting | 14 |
|  | c. Thrashing | 9 |
|  | Total | 34 |
| 5. | Number of family labourers worked for per acre Maize production | 10 |
| 6. | Production cost of Maize per kilogram (in taka) | 4.95 |
| 7. | Production value of Maize per kilogram (in taka) | 11.02 |
| 8. | Cost of land preparation per acre (in taka): | 1878 |
| 9. | Cost of seeds per acre (in taka) | 2342 |
| 10. | Cost of fertilizers by type per acre (in taka): |  |
|  | a. Urea | 1069 |
|  | b. TSP | 142 |
|  | c. Organic | 302 |
|  | d. Other Cost | 353 |
|  | Total | 1867 |
| 11. | Cost of insecticides per acre (in taka) | 471 |
| 12. | Cost of irrigation per acre (in taka) | 1189 |
| 13. | Cost of weeding per acre (in taka) | 1301 |
| 14. | Cost of harvesting per acre (in taka) | 1554 |
| 15. | Cost of thrashing per acre (in taka) | 835 |

## Chapter -I

## Introduction

## Introduction

Bangladesh is an agricultural country. The most of her inhabitants directly or indirectly are involved in agricultural activities for their livelihood. Agriculture has a great contribution to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of the country. Earlier more than $50 \%$ of GDP came from this sector. When industrialization starts happening the activities of the population starts diversification towards different sectors. As a result, the contribution of the agriculture sector is slowly reducing and now reached $19 \%$ share of GDP. Still agriculture plays vital role and is known as the most important sector of the economy.

Bangladesh by birth possesses very fertile land in which diversified crops grow very easily. Various types of crops are produced in this country. These crops might have been categorized into two-food crops and cash crops. Three types of paddy namely Aus, Aman and Boro and another cereal crop, wheat are produced in this country, which are called major cereal crops. There are some other minor cereal crops. Maize is one of them. It is getting popular day by day and farmers are bringing more areas under plough under the crop. The production of Maize largely depends on the use of improved seeds, fertilizers, irrigation etc. The Government of Bangladesh has, therefore, provided top most priority to the agriculture sector specially on food crop to increase the production of cereals by giving subsidy to the farmers on different inputs such as fertilizer, irrigation etc. to achieve self sufficiency in food.

Poverty cannot be reduced to a desired level excepting increasing productivity of agriculture sector and at the same time it is to be assured that farmers get fair price of the crops. Natural calamity like draught, flood, cyclone, tornado etc. is a very regular phenomenon which hinders the production of agriculture to a great extent. Cultivable land is being decreased due to the pressure of massive population. As a result, food security is being threatened and the risk of poor people is being increased.

Bangladesh government is remarkably concerned about this agriculture sector. Notable portion of annual budget has consistently been allocated for the last couple of years for the development of the sector. Government has also been launching many programmes one after another in order to boost up the agriculture production.
Production of crops, cost of production of crops and market price of crops are directly interrelated. Government has to give proper attention on these three factors as stated so that the farmer get fair price of the crop produced during the harvest time. Generaly, Government has to declare procurement price at the harvesting time of the crop so that
producer get proper price. Procurement price of the crop has to be fixed considering all these matters. If procurement price is lower than the production cost, producers get looser and discouraged to produce more crops and if procurement price is higher than the production cost, producers get profit and encouragement. This type of loss and profit influence positively or negatively on the cultivation of next year's crops. So, an objective survey is necessary to know the cost of production of crops at farmer's level. And as such this project has been given the responsibility of conducting a survey on the cost of production of Maize.

## Maize : A cereal crop:

Maize is a cereal crop. Its sowing time is mid October to December and reaping time is April to May.

## Production of Maize:

Bangladesh occupies most lands of the great Bengal plane of the Gangs Delta with affluent alluvial soils. Moreover, it is a riverine area with plentiful water resources with hot and humid monsoon climate. However the condition of Bangladesh is blissful for growing Maize.

Table: Acreage, Production and yield rate of Maize during the last ten years,

| Year | Acreage in <br> ‘000' | Production in <br> ‘000' M. Tons | Yield rate <br> M. tons |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $1998-99$ | 7 | 3 | 0.43 |
| $1999-00$ | 8 | 4 | 0.50 |
| $2000-01$ | 11 | 10 | 0.91 |
| $2001-02$ | 49 | 64 | 1.31 |
| $2002-03$ | 72 | 117 | 1.62 |
| $2003-04$ | 124 | 241 | 1.94 |
| $2004-05$ | 165 | 356 | 2.16 |
| $2005-06$ | 243 | 522 | 2.15 |
| $2006-07$ | 373 | 902 | 2.42 |
| $2007-08$ | 549 | 1343 | 2.43 |

Source : Statistical year book of Bangladesh-2008.
From the table it is evident that in the recent years the cultivation of Maize is increasing rapidly and it is due to high demand of the crop in the market. Per acre yield also registers substantial high due to use of high yielding variety seeds. .

### 1.1. Scope and coverage of the survey.

Survey on the production cost of Maize 2008-09 is a household based survey. Under the purview of this survey the target population was all dwelling households of the sample area. Ten separate surveys for 10 crops like Aus, Aman, Boro, Potato, Jute, Wheat, Maize, Onion, Oilseeds and pulses are conducted following the same sampling design. A target sample of 100 upazilas are selected from 64 districts to capture the rare crops like onion, oilseeds and pulses, where the rest seven crops are believed to be available.

### 1.2. Objective of the survey:

The specific objective of the survey is

- to estimate per acre production cost of Maize
to estimate per kilogram production cost of Maize
The other objectives of the survey are as follows:
to know the area under Maize by land tenure
to asses the cost of production of Maize by different phases
- to produce benchmark data on the production cost of Maize
to assist the policy maker by supplying data on the cost of production of Maize in order to formulate appropriate policies for increasing the production of Maize crop


## Chapter -II

## Methodology

## Methodology

### 2.1 Sample Design:

Sample design is the most important aspect of a survey, which strongly affects survey results. An integrated sample design for conducting survey on the cost of production of 10 crops has been developed. Maize is one of the 10 crops. Sample design has been discussed in detail below:

### 2.1.1. Universe:

Bangladesh as a whole is taken as the universe of the survey.

### 2.1.2 Sampling Technique:

Multi-stage sampling technique has been followed.

### 2.1.3 Sampling Frame:

The list of Districts, Upazilas, and the Mauzas, growing the particular crop Maize, are used as the sampling frame.

### 2.1.4 Detailed Sample Design:

As this survey is a part of the sample survey on cost of production of 10 crops such as Aman, Aus, Boro, Wheat, Jute, Potato, Maize, Oil Seeds, Pulses and Onion, the sample design for Maize crop has followed the same design as the integrated sample design for the said 10 crops.

A national sample survey on cost of production of 10 major and minor corps already conducted by the BBS was a complex survey. If the survey had been conducted separately for each crop, it would be very simple and straight forward. But as it had been conducted by a single survey, it became complex. The crops have different acreages ranging from below 1 percent ( $\mathrm{O} .72 \%$ ) for maize to $35 \%$ for Aman crop and they are grown at different times of the crop year. While Aman, Boro and Aus are grown throughout the country, other crops are not grown so widely. Furthermore, cultivation of some minor crops is rare and localized. They grow heavily in some places and do not grow at all in other places of the country. Estimates at sub-national level, say at divisional level, for such minor crops become difficult.

### 2.1.5 Sample Size Determination:

The total acreages and the percentages of acreages of these crops obtained from Sample Survey of Agriculture, 2005 are shown in Statement-I (See Annex- B). The gross cropped area in the country is $299,90,170$ acres as per the Sample Survey of Agriculture, 2005. Using these percentages of acreage of these crops in the country, the minimum sample size for each of these crops is determined in statement-1 applying the following equation which is popularly used for determination of sample size with error and confidence level 95\%:

$$
n=\frac{p q(1.96)^{2}}{e^{2}}
$$

Where,
$\mathrm{P}=$ Proportion of a crop to total gross cropped area
$\mathrm{q}=1-\mathrm{p}$
$e=$ Error level (5\% error level is used in this case)
If the survey was conducted for each crop separately drawing the sample from the national frame of the crop all over the country, the sample size (n) as shown in statement-1 would be sufficient to provide cost estimate of the crop with $95 \%$ confidence level for the country as a whole. But if divisional estimate is necessary for the crops, n should be 6 times more than the national estimate as given in the statement to conduct the survey for the crop at divisional level. If the samples are drawn independently for each crop then they are likely to be distributed in many Upazilas all over the country resulting higher cost for both increasing man power and traveling distance. With the objective of reducing cost of the survey, the sample is drawn for one crop namely, oil seeds which is distributed almost throughout the country, where $\mathrm{n}=103$. The minimum sample number required for all divisions is (103 X 6) 618 farms growing oil seeds.

### 2.1.6. Selection Procedure:

If divisional estimates are required for all crops, it is pre-determined that primary sampling units (PSUs) i.e. Upazilas should be selected from 64 districts. It is also decided that at least 100 Mouzas/Eas (Enumeration Area) as Secondary Sampling Units should be selected from 64 districts. The selected Mouzas/EAs will consist of about 250 households. The farm households growing the particular crop are the ultimate sampling unit in the survey. All farm households growing the particular crop in the selected Mouzas/EAs have been interviewed in the survey.

A total of 100 Upazilas have been selected randomly from 64 districts. At first 64 Upazilas having minor crop oil seeds are selected from 64 districts and then the remaining 36 Upazilas have been selected from the districts having higher number of Upazilas growing the particular crops excluding Chittagong hill districts. One Mouza/EA have been selected from each of the 100 selected Upazilas having the highest acreage of the particular crop (oil seeds) and the selection has been made at the Upazila headquarter since the sampling frame of Mouza having a particular crop is available at the Upazila level. These 100 upazilas have been used for all other 9 crops and the same Mouzas/EAs selected for minor crops such as oil seeds are taken as the sample Mouza/EAs. All the farm households with 0.05 acres of land growing these crops in the selected mouza/EA have been interviewed in the survey. The expected number of farm households that might have been interviewed for each of these crops is shown in Statement-I (see annexure- B).

### 2.2. Data Collection and its whole process:

As data collection has a noteworthy impact on the quality of survey results, it is treated as a significant part of survey. Considering its importance, the following measures have been taken during the preparation of questionnaire as the tool of data collection:

- Brain-storming activity has been carried out by the members responsible for developing the questionnaire going to the field again and again in order to design a good questionnaire. They have thoroughly discussed most of the issues relating to the production and the cost of production of Maize with the farmer.
- Questionnaire has been pre-tested;
- Comprehensive manual of data collection with clearly defined concepts and definitions have been made;
- Training programme for the enumerators and supervisors has been conducted;
- Required number of field staff in order to ensure smooth data collection has been set up;
- To take extra-care to the data collection activity, sufficient number of supervisors has been occupied.


### 2.2.1. Questionnaire Design:

A questionnaire is a powerful evaluation tool that allows the collection of data through the use of multi-dimensional questions. A questionnaire written without a clear goal and purpose is inevitably going to overlook important issues and waste enumerators' as well as respondents' time by asking and responding useless questions. All these matters have been tried to address to the extent possible in case of developing the questionnaire for this survey.

### 2.2.2. Process of questionnaire design:

A sub-committee comprising of eight members- from different departments and university Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS) - have been formed in order to facilitate the questionnaire development activity. Project Director, Advisor and some other members of the sub-committee have paid several visits to the field with a view to being acknowledged what are the factors of production and the pros and cons of the whole process of the production of Maize as well. They discussed the matter with the farmers who grow Maize. After having the knowledge on the issue, they have placed the feedback to the meeting of the sub-committee. Sub-committee have thoroughly examined the feedback and selected the topics of the survey. Project Director and Advisor have been assigned to form a questionnaire on the selected topics and eventually, they have developed a questionnaire with seven questions. Subsequently the questionnaire has been brought forward to the Technical Committee, the highest statistical body, which has finally approved the questionnaire.

### 2.2.3. Pre-testing the questionnaire:

The questionnaire has been pre-tested to examine the time necessitated to complete the interview, test the reliability i.e. whether it capture the information desired, and also investigate the consistency whether the information gathered by it is related to the whole purpose of the survey. The test has also been targeted to check the logistics required for successful operation of the survey.

In order to ensure the best performance of the questionnaire in respect of data collection, processing and analyzing, the pre-testing has been carried out almost two months before the survey at rural area of Tangail District and Savar- an Upzila belonging to Dhaka district. A group including Project Director, Advisor, some members of the subcommittee had gone to the mentioned two places to take part in testing the questionnaire. They have chosen some of the farmers at random as the respondent. The farmers have helped the team cordially and wanted to know whether they would be benefited in any way. However it was a very successful programme.

### 2.2.4. Findings of the Pre-test:

Depending on the findings of the pretest, modifications to the questionnaire have been made in the structure and wording of the questionnaire. It has also taken care of semblance of the question, that is, the meaning and clarity which yields the intended information from the respondent. Furthermore, considerable amendment has also taken place in the enumerator's manual in view of ensuring proper questionnaire administration.

After pre-testing some significant suggestions from the respective team have been made, which had been eventually adopted properly in the final questionnaire. During the pretest, it has been found that farmers, the respondents do not feel comfortable to respond to the questions relating to the total area of the land under Maize crop as they have cultivated it in many plots. Considering the fact, the structure of the questionnaire significantly changed. Deleting the aggregate area in a single row, the new concept, area by plot in seven rows has been incorporated.

### 2.2.5. Finalization of the Questionnaire:

After addressing all the changes following the recommendations evolved from the pretest, the questionnaire was placed to the Technical Committee. The committee also put notable contribution to the questionnaire. Eventually, the questionnaire has been finalized by the approval of the Technical Committee.

### 2.2.6. Training of the Master Trainers (Division and Regional Coordinator) and

Enumerators: Training has been arranged in two phases in order to make the master trainers and enumerators perfectly conceptualized with the concepts and definitions of each word of the questionnaire as well as to convey the proper way of data collection. At the first stage, two days training programme conducted by the Project Director and Advisor has been arranged at the head office of BBS in Dhaka. On the first day the participants receive rigorous training on the concepts, definitions and the questionnaire and on the next day they have gone to the rural area of Savar Upzila with a view to having hands-on exercise on the questionnaire. In the second phase, enumerators have been trained for two days by the master trainers at the Regional Statistical Offices (RSOs) following the same sequence as the training arranged at the first phase. At first, enumerators receive training on the questionnaire and in the next day they also visit field at remote area of the respective region in order to have experience on hand. However, most of the trainees- both master trainers and enumerators- actively participated in the training and also made some suggestions which were subsequently taken into consideration.

### 2.2.7. Method of Data Collection: Face to face interview has been carried out following Paper and Pencil (PAPI) method.

2.2.8. Data Collection and Supervision: Data collection has taken place during March 2009 at the homestead of the household. Usually the respondents are the head of household. The total of 100 enumerators, who are the employees of BBS and have proven experience in this field, have been engaged in data collection from the farm households and the total of 28 supervising officer named Regional Coordinators are responsible for supervising the data collection task. All supervising officers have been directed to stay at the respective region during the period of data collection so that they
can extensively supervise data collection task and address instantly any untoward problem arising during data collection. Three divisional coordinators including Project Director are also responsible to oversee all activities at field level relating to data collection. Furthermore, all possible measures have been taken to have a good quality of data.

### 2.2.9. Data Editing and Coding:

Data editing and coding are another vital phases of the survey, which is indispensable for data processing. It should be completed before data processing. In case of this survey coding has been done along with questionnaire development so that the enumerator can easily and accurately mark the right answers.

Data editing refers to the activity of checking and cleaning data that have already been collected from the field. A group of experienced staff from Agriculture Wing under the supervision of two officers from the same Wing have carried out the work of data editing with careful attention.

### 2.3 Data Processing:

Data processing involves many steps that are very important because it affects survey results according to the involved steps. During data processing following steps have been taken.

* Data entry
* Appending and Merging files
* Data validation ( further checking, editing, and imputation)
* Final decision on errors
* Completion of data processing and generation of data files
* Final documentations
* Conversion of data files to another software.
* Storage of all files


## Data Entry:

After editing, all questionnaires have been sent to Computer Wing of BBS in order to do all works of data processing. Computer Wing has maintained the steps as mentioned aiming to ensure perfect data processing:

1. Software Used: Five softwares named CSPro, Foxpro, Oracle (SQL), SPSS and Excel have been used for processing the survey data. CSPro have been used for data entry, Foxpro also for editing, Oracle for tabulation, SPSS for data analysis and Excel for printing output.
2. Designing Data Entry Application: The first thing to do was to create the data dictionary based on the questionnaire. The data dictionary has consisted of ID items, records, items of the records, and also values of the items. Logic check was also maintained to avoid errors of inconsistency. After finishing the data dictionary, the data entry forms have been developed depending on data dictionary. After that, the data entry form are tested and, therefore, readily available for use.

## 3. Data capturing and Preliminary Validation

Just after the completion of data editing manually, data have been captured in computer. During data capturing, a variety of common errors have been identified. As a result data have been checked and cross checked with questionnaire depending on error message. During data processing, the appropriate corrective methodologies mentioned below have been used to ensure clean data.

- Wrong data and out of range codes: Firstly, the data collection instrument restricts the enumerator to a set of codes within the acceptable range for most of the questions. Secondly, the values have been set for avoiding wild codes for most of the questions. For example, the code for ownership of land has been set 1 to 5 .
- Inconsistency checking: It has been done during designing the data entry program to avoid errors and inconsistency.
- Treatment of Missing values: The data entry program has been designed not to allow blanks that ensure not having missing values in the data.
- Incomplete records and dropped cases. The data entry program has designed to accept the complete data case; otherwise, it would not be saved. This has been set to avoid incomplete records and dropped cases.
- Duplication of entries. The data entry program has been designed in view of rejecting duplication of entries based on the identifiers.

4. Appending and Merging files: After data entry, files have properly been appended and merged in order to bring all data in a single file.
5. Data Validation: Validation has been accomplished after appending and merging files by checking the number of variables, the cases, wild codes, missing value and consistency. It has been made sure that the number of variables generated matched with the number of variables in the data set.
6. Final decision on errors: If there has been found any error during data validation, it is checked and rechecked; and sometimes it has been sent back to the survey authority to decide how it would be treated.
7. Completion of data processing and generation of data file: Addressing the final decision on error, data processing task have been completed and generated a data file which contains micro data.
8. Data preservation: After completion of processing, data have been stored in ASCII format. The data have also been converted to Microsoft Excel format in order to have the print out. Both original and new format have been preserved. The questionnaires have also been filed for safe storage. A copy of the data set has been put forward to the survey authority for tabulation and analysis.

### 2.4 Tabulation:

Tables focusing on the vital components such as total number of labours engaged in production of Maize, cost of land preparation, seeds used and their price, fertilizer used and their price, cost of insecticides, cost of production by phases etc. have been generated. All these tables have been given in the part of analysis and annexure.

### 2.5 Data Analysis and Dissemination:

Survey results have been analysed in tabular form. Major variable is explained vertically (columns) and cross tabulation by another related variable(s) horizontally. In the analysis, it has been described the variation of the magnitude of the major variables by division. Many aspects of production and the cost of production of Maize have also been explained nationally.

The final report has been disseminated both in electronic form and hard copy as book. Results are available in the website of BBS. Some data may also be published in other publications of BBS such as Statistical Year Book of Bangladesh, Year Book of Agriculture Statistics of Bangladesh, and Monthly Statistical Bulletin etc.

## Chapter-III

## Statistical findings

## Statistical findings

Various components are used in different stages of growing a crop from sowing to harvesting. This chapter deals with the cost related components of production to Maize crop. The components involved are i) land tenure ship such as own, share cropping, mortgage, lease and others, ii) labourers employed by phase such as land preparation, sowing, weeding, harvesting etc iii) use of seeds, fertilizers, pesticides, irrigation etc. iv) production cost and v) productivity etc.

## Maize producing households $(\mathbf{H H s})$ in the sample area:

24625 sample households (HHs) were under the survey purview across the country, of which only 592 HHs were involved in maize cultivation. The table reveals that only $2.40 \%$ of HHs at national level cultivated Maize indicating that a small number of farmers grow the crop in the country.

Table-3.1. Total number of PSU, SSU, USU (HH) \& number of households producing Maize crop

| Division | Total Number |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PSU | SSU | USU(HH) | HH producing <br> Maize | $\%$ of HH <br> producing Maize |
| Barisal | 9 | 9 | 2250 | 18 | 0.80 |
| Chittagang | 16 | 16 | 3625 | 103 | 2.84 |
| Dhaka | 25 | 25 | 6250 | 32 | 0.51 |
| Khulna | 16 | 16 | 4000 | 79 | 1.98 |
| Rajshahi | 28 | 28 | 7000 | 360 | 5.14 |
| Sylhet | 6 | 6 | 1500 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Bangladesh | 100 | 100 | 24625 | 592 | 2.40 |

It is seen from the table that the highest (5.14) percent households grow Maize in Rajshahi division. Followed by Chittagong division (2.84\%), Khulna division (1.98\%) and Barisal division $(0.80 \%)$. The crop is not cultivated in sample areas of Sylhet division.

## Area under Sample:

Out of 24635 households surveyed 298.36 acres of land under maize are recorded.
Table- 3.2(a) Area (acres) under maize as recorded in the sample area by variety and by division.

| Variety of | Division |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| maize | Barisal | Chittagong | Dhaka | Khulna | Rajshahi | Sylhet |  |
| Composite | 0.24 | 18.00 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 9.06 | - | 28.31 |
|  | $(0.08)$ | $(6.03)$ | $(0.17)$ | $(0.17)$ | $(3.04)$ |  | $(9.49)$ |
| HYV | 2.82 | 38.13 | 7.86 | 19.55 | 201.69 | - | 270.05 |
|  | $(0.95)$ | $(12.78)$ | $(2.63)$ | $(6.55)$ | $(67.60)$ |  | $(90.51)$ |
| Total | 3.06 | 56.13 | 8.37 | 20.05 | 210.75 | - | 298.36 |
|  | $(1.03)$ | $(18.81)$ | $(2.80)$ | $(6.72)$ | $(70.63)$ |  | $(100.00)$ |

Figures in parenthesis are the percentages of the total area.
Out of total cultivated area under maize, about $91 \%$ of the area is occupied by HYV. Sylhet division responded no cultivation of maize. 210.75 acres of land under the crop is registered in Rajshahi division which covers the highest $71 \%$ of the total area. Chittagang and Khulna divisions register 56.13 acres and 20.05 acres respectively under the crop. Areas (acres) under Maize are illustrated in the bar-diagram below.


Table-3.2 (b): Area (acres) under Maize as recorded in the sample area by variety and by land tenureship.

Fig. in acres

| Variety of | Tenure ship |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maize | Own | Share | Mortgage | Lease | Others |  |
| Composite | 8.88 | 2.11 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 116.56 | 28.31 |
|  | $(2.98)$ | $(0.71)$ | $(0.09)$ | $(0.17)$ | $(39.07)$ | $(9.49)$ |
| HYV | 210.46 | 33.92 | 5.82 | 14.08 | 5.77 | 270.05 |
|  | $(70.54)$ | $(11.37)$ | $(1.95)$ | $(4.72)$ | $(1.93)$ | $(90.51)$ |
| Total | 219.34 | 36.03 | 6.08 | 14.58 | 22.33 | 298.36 |
|  | $(73.52)$ | $(12.08)$ | $(2.04)$ | $(4.89)$ | $(7.48)$ | $(100.00)$ |

Figures in parenthesis are the percentages of the total area.

By tenureship consideration own type of lands covers $73.52 \%$ of the total area out of total area 298.36 acres. Share cropping and others type of tenureship also cover significant percentages of the area of $12.1 \%$ and $7.5 \%$ respectively. Figures of all types of tenureship combined and separately are displayed in the above table. Percentages of the total area (acres) of Maize by land tenureship are depicted in the pi-chart below.


## Land preparation:

Land is prepared first by tilling either by power tiller or by country plough for the sowing of seeds. The costs involved in it are displayed in the table below.
Table-3.3: Per acre land preparation cost of Maize by size of land planted.

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Fig in Tk) } \\ & \hline \text { Tenure ship } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size of land planted(acres) | Combined | Variety of maize |  |  |  |
|  |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |
| < $=0.04$ | 2286 | 2143 | 2357 | 2353 | 2000 |
| 0.05-0.49 | 2129 | 2084 | 2132 | 2125 | 2143 |
| 0.50-0.99 | 1723 | 1875 | 1707 | 1625 | 1890 |
| 1.00-1.49 | 1843 | 1844 | 1843 | 1763 | 2033 |
| 1.50-2.49 | 1830 | 1951 | 1813 | 1767 | 2067 |
| 2.50-4.99 | 1728 | 1875 | 1705 | 1812 | 1857 |
| 5.00-7.49 | 1848 |  | 1848 | 1848 |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1878 | 1935 | 1872 | 1851 | 1953 |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.
Per acre land preparation cost of total maize is recorded as Tk 1878 and it varies from Tk1723 to Tk 2286 among 8 sizes of land planted. For the composite variety the cost is Tk 1935 and for HYV it is Tk 1872. If the figures are analysed the cost is higher for smaller land size planted.

Per acre land preparation cost of Maize for own type of lands (Tk 1851) is substantially less than that of others type of lands (Tk 1953).

## Seeds:

Seeds are sown after the preparation of lands. Per acre cost of seeds along with the cost of sowing is Tk 2342. For the composite variety the cost is Tk 1891 and for hyv it is Tk 2389. The Table focuses that the cost of HYV seeds is higher than that of composite.

Table-3.4: Per acre seed and sowing cost by size of land planted.
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land <br> planted | Combined |  | Variety of maize |  | Tenure ship |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 2495 | 2229 | 2629 | 2600 | 2050 |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 2388 | 2033 | 2419 | 2406 | 2315 |  |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 2291 | 1846 | 2337 | 2213 | 2426 |  |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 2330 | 1957 | 2366 | 2275 | 2464 |  |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 2330 | 1804 | 2402 | 2306 | 2422 |  |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 2373 | 1775 | 2467 | 2476 | 2043 |  |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 2461 |  | 2461 | 2461 |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 2342 | 1891 | 2389 | 2330 | 2375 |  |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.

## Irrigation, Pesticides and others:

At the growing stage of the crop the plants demands irrigation. Green plants are sometimes attacked by insects/pests. Per acre costs of these components are shown below in table 5 .

Table-3.5: Per acre insecticide, irrigation \& others cost by size of land planted.
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land <br> planted | Combined |  | Variety of maize |  | Tenure ship |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |  |
| $\langle=0.04$ | 1905 | 1393 | 2286 | 1882 | 2000 |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 1978 | 1703 | 2002 | 1968 | 2018 |  |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 2086 | 1916 | 2104 | 2075 | 2106 |  |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1968 | 1753 | 1989 | 1877 | 2193 |  |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1964 | 1804 | 1986 | 1958 | 1987 |  |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 2067 | 1875 | 2096 | 2188 | 1680 |  |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1887 |  | 1887 | 1887 |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 2012 | 1811 | 2034 | 1998 | 2052 |  |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.
Per acre cost of these components combined is registered as Tk 2012 for all sizes of land planted. The cost of composite variety is lower at Tk 1811 than that for HYV (Tk 2034).

Considering tenureship, per acre cost under these components combined for own type of land is Tk 1998 and for others type of land it is Tk 2052.

## Fertilizer:

For well growth of the crop both organic and inorganic fertilizers are used. The table below shows the per acre cost of fertilizer used.

Table-3.6: Per acre cost of fertilizer by size of land planted
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land <br> planted | Combined |  | Variety of maize |  | Tenure ship |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |  |
| $\langle=0.04$ | 1667 | 1629 | 1686 | 1706 | 1500 |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 1965 | 2015 | 1961 | 1986 | 1880 |  |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1766 | 1400 | 1804 | 1809 | 1693 |  |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1861 | 1752 | 1872 | 1840 | 1914 |  |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1963 | 1421 | 2064 | 1956 | 1990 |  |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1775 | 1463 | 1824 | 1908 | 1650 |  |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1724 |  | 1724 | 1724 |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1867 | 1576 | 1897 | 1899 | 1776 |  |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.
The cost of fertilizer used for one acre of land under Maize for all types of land planted is Tk 1867. It recorded Tk 1576 for composite variety and Tk 1897 for HYV. It is unearthed from the table that farmers who cultivated maize in their own lands spant more (Tk 1899) than the farmers of others type of lands who spent Tk 1799 for this purpose.

## Weeding, harvesting, thrashing and others:

During the growth of the plants weeding and intercultural practices are done. When the grains are matured the crops are harvested and thrashed. Per acre cost for these components combined is as follows:

Table-3.7: Per acre weeding, harvesting, thrashing \& others cost of Maize by size of land planted
(Fig in Tk)

| Land size of | Combined | Variety of Maize |  | Tenure ship |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| land planted |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |
| $\langle=0.04$ | 4500 | 3357 | 5071 | 4794 | 3250 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 4430 | 3011 | 4554 | 4432 | 4423 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 4178 | 3003 | 4300 | 4166 | 4198 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 4040 | 3018 | 4138 | 4037 | 4047 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 3892 | 2931 | 4022 | 4021 | 3402 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 3865 | 3100 | 3985 | 4097 | 3121 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 4444 |  | 4444 | 4444 |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 4159 | 3009 | 4280 | 4205 | 4029 |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.
It is noticed from the table that per acre cost of weeding, harvesting, trashing and others combined is Tk 4159 . The costs are Tk 3009 and Tk 4280 for composite and HYV respectively. The table clearly exposes that the cost is higher for smaller land size planted.

By tenureship the cost is Tk 4205 for the farmers who planted maize in their own lands and Tk 4029 for those who cultivated the crop in the 'others' type of land.

## Labourer:

Table-3.8: Per acre number of labour engaged for weeding by size of land planted

| Land size of <br> land planted | Combined | Variety of maize |  | Tenure ship |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |
| $<=0.04$ | 17 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 15 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 14 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 7 |  | 7 | 7 |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 11 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 10 |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.

Per acre number of labourers deployed for weeding work of the crop is found to be 11 . The numbers are 9 and 11 for composite and HYV respectively. For own type of land it is 11 and for others type it is 10 . It is noticed from the table that farmers of smaller land size engaged more labourer (15-17) than the higher land size.

Table-3.9: Per acre number of labourers engaged for harvesting by size of land planted

| Land size of <br> land planted | Combined |  | Variety of maize |  | Tenure ship |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |  |
| $\langle=0.04$ | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 15 |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 15 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 15 |  |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 13 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 13 |  |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 12 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 12 |  |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 11 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 9 |  |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 8 |  |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 10 | - | 10 | 10 | - |  |
| $7.50+$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Average | 14 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 12 |  |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.

Per acre number of labourers engaged for harvesting work of the crop is observed as 14 for all varieties combined for all sizes of land planted. It is seen from the table that 11 labourers are needed for composite variety and 13 for HYV. If it is considered by tenure ship, the farmers who planted maize in their own lands engaged 15 umber of labourers. For the 'others' type of lands the figure is 12.

Table-3.10: Per acre number of labourer engaged for thrashing by size of land planted

| Land size of <br> land planted | Combined |  | Variety of maize |  | Tenure ship |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 11 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 11 |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 9 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 10 |  |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 7 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 |  |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 6 |  |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 5 |  |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 5 |  |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 7 |  | 7 | 8 |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| Average | 9 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 6 |  |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.
The table shows that the number of labourers deployed were 9 for the thrashing work of one acre crop and it ranged from 7-11 for different sizes of land planted. Per acre number of labourers for thrashing works of Maize for composite and HYV are 5 and 10 respectively. Farmers have to engage more laboureres for smaller size of lands of the crop. Farmers employed more number of labourers for their own lands (9) than for other type of lands (6).

## Production cost:

Per acre production cost is the sum total of the costs of all inputs and all labourers involved/engaged in different stages of the crop. In the table shown velow per acre production cost of Maize is furnished.

Table-3.11: Per acre production cost by size of land planted by variety and tunership.
(Fig in Tk)

| Land size of <br> land planted | Combined |  | Variety of Maize |  | Tenure ship |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |  |
| $\langle=0.04$ | 12853 | 10501 | 14029 | 13335 | 10800 |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 12893 | 10846 | 13068 | 12912 | 12779 |  |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 12244 | 10040 | 12282 | 11888 | 12313 |  |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 12042 | 10324 | 12208 | 11792 | 12651 |  |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 11979 | 9911 | 12287 | 12008 | 11868 |  |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 11808 | 10180 | 12077 | 12482 | 10351 |  |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 12364 |  | 12366 | 12364 |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 12258 | 10222 | 12472 | 12283 | 11585 |  |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.

It is observed from the table above that per acre production cost of maize at national level stands at Tk 12258. At different sizes of land planted under the crop the cost varies from Tk 11808 to Tk 12853. Per acre production cost of composite variety is Tk 10222 and Tk 12472 for HYV.

With the consideration of tenureship it is noticed that per acre production cost of own type of lands is Tk 12283 and that of other type of lands is Tk 11585.

## Per acre production (in kilograms):

Per acre production (in kilograms) of maize by size of land planted and by variety and tenureship are shown as under.

Table-3.12: Per acre production (in Kg ) by size of land planted
(fig. in Kilograms)

| Size of land <br> planted(acres) | Combined | Variety of maize |  | Tenure ship |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |
| $<=0.04$ | 2248 | 1457 | 2643 | 2482 | 1800 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 2593 | 1420 | 2695 | 2654 | 2341 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 2591 | 1481 | 2707 | 2754 | 2318 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 2299 | 1461 | 2378 | 2438 | 1946 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 2148 | 1351 | 2256 | 2068 | 2450 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 2504 | 1300 | 2694 | 2532 | 2414 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 2288 |  | 2288 | 2288 |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 2464 | 1414 | 2574 | 2531 | 2276 |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.
The table reveals that per acre production of Maize for the varieties combined is 2464 kilograms. Farmers reaped one acre land of composite variety with 1414 kilograms and HYV with 2574 kilograms. Per acre yield rate of HYV is $82 \%$ more than that of composite variety. Farmers who cultivate maize in their own lands harvested 2531 kilograms of maize for one acre land whereas it less at 2276 kilograms for other type of lands. It is clearly understood that per acre yield rate of maize for own type of land is higher than that of others type of land. Per acre production (in Kg ) of Maize by variety is illustrated in the bar-diagram below:


## Per acre production value:

Just after harvest farmers generally sell their produce at their homesteads and get value (in Taka) from the produce. Per acre production value (in taka) of Maize by size of land planted is shown below:

Table-3.13: Per acre production value by size of land planted
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land <br> planted(acres) | Combined | Variety of maize |  | Tenure ship |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Composite | HYV | Own | Others |
| $\langle=0.04$ | 24271 | 18743 | 28638 | 25512 | 22000 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 28974 | 15395 | 30158 | 29368 | 27365 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 27843 | 16350 | 29034 | 29163 | 25624 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 24358 | 15176 | 25221 | 25027 | 22661 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 25159 | 13780 | 26709 | 25363 | 24390 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 27755 | 13800 | 29963 | 29189 | 23169 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 28570 |  | 28570 | 28570 |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 27175 | 15119 | 28441 | 27928 | 25073 |

Note: Others include share cropping, mortgage, lease \& others.
It is observed from the table that combined total per acre production value of Maize is Tk 27175. For composite variety per acre production value stands at Tk 15119 and for HYV it is Tk 28441. If it is considered by land tenure ship, per acre production value of own type of land and others type of land are Tk 27928 and 25073 respectively.

Table-3.14: Per Kg. production cost and production value.

| Variety | Per Kg. production cost (inTk.) | Per Kg. production value(in Tk.) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Composite | 7.23 | 10.69 |
| HYV | 4.85 | 11.05 |
| Average | 4.95 | 11.02 |

## Productivity:

Where production value is higher than production cost it means the producer gets some profit from the produce and being encouraged the producer will continue to produce the item. Sometimes opposite picture is seen and the producer will stop to produce the item. Here a complete positive picture is exposed which is displayed below.

Table:3.15: Per acre productivity of Maize by variety

| Variety | Production cost (in Tk) | Production value (in Tk) | productivity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Composite | 10222 | 15119 | 1.48 |
| HYV | 12472 | 28441 | 2.28 |
| Average | 12258 | 27175 | 2.22 |

The table discloses that per acre productivity of Maize is 2.22 which means production value is more than double of production cost. Productivity of HYV Maize is 2.28 and it
exposes that farmers get more benefit in producing the crop. Per acre productivity has been shown in the diagram below:


## Major head wise per acre production cost of Maize.

Per acre production cost of Maize by major heads are displayed in the table 3.16. It is to be mentioned here that non response of the information from the most farmers about the leasing value (land rental value) of the land for the cultivation of Maize, it has not been possible to show per acre leasing value.

Table-3.16. Per acre major head wise production cost of Maize by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> Planted <br> (Combined) | Major head wise Production cost (in Tk) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Land <br> preparation | Seed <br> related | Insecticide, <br> irrigation <br> \& others | Fertilizer | Weeding, <br> harvesting, <br> thrashing \& others | Total |
| $\langle=0.04$ | 2286 | 2495 | 1905 | 1667 | 4500 | 12853 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 2129 | 2388 | 1978 | 1965 | 4430 | 12893 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1723 | 2291 | 2086 | 1766 | 4178 | 12244 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1843 | 2330 | 1968 | 1861 | 4040 | 12042 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1830 | 2330 | 1964 | 1963 | 3892 | 11979 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1728 | 2373 | 2067 | 1775 | 3865 | 11808 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1848 | 2461 | 1887 | 1724 | 4444 | 12364 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1878 | 2342 | 2012 | 1867 | 4159 | 12258 |
| Percentages(\%) | 15.32 | 19.11 | 16.41 | 15.23 | 33.93 | 100 |

The table exposes that about $34 \%$ of the total cost are spent in weeding, harvesting, thrashing and others. Seed related cost covers about $19 \%$ followed by insecticides, irrigation and others cost about $17 \%$. Percentages of per acre major head wise production cost of Maize are shown in the Pi-chart below.


### 3.17 Sampling error and data reliability

Using the random group method the estimated variance of R has the following form

$$
\operatorname{Var} ®=\frac{\sum_{g=1}\left(R_{g}-R\right)^{2}}{K(K-1)}
$$

Where: $\mathrm{R}=$ the estimated average cost (land preparation / seed \& seed related, pesticide \& irrigation/ fertilizer /other)
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ the estimated mean for the $\mathrm{g}^{\text {th }}$ random group
$\mathrm{K}=$ the number of random group
Table-3.17(a): Estimated average production cost (excluding leasing) per kg for the 2008-09 variety wise maize crops and their standard errors

| Variety of maize | Total |  | Land preparation |  | Seed \& seed related, pesticide \& irrigation |  | Fertilizer |  | Harvesting, thrashing \&others |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost | S.E | Cost | S.E | Cost | S.E | Cost | S.E | Cost | S.E |
| Composite | 10.69 | 0.00757 | 1.36 | 0.00217 | 6.09 | 0.00355 | 1.11 | 0.00222 | 2.13 | 0.00263 |
| HYV | 11.05 | 0.00209 | 0.73 | 0.00067 | 7.92 | 0.00050 | 0.74 | 0.00085 | 1.66 | 0.00081 |
| Combined | 11.03 | 0.00080 | 0.76 | 0.00016 | 7.82 | 0.00045 | 0.76 | 0.00042 | 1.69 | 0.00040 |

From the above table it is seen that the average production cost per kg for composite maize of 10.69 taka is not significantly different from the 11.05 taka average production cost for HYV crops at 95\% confidence level. Although the estimated production cost per kg for composite maize is subject to higher standard errors than for HYV maize crops. Production cost for all estimates have acceptable reliability in terms of sampling error.

Table-3.17(b): Estimated average production cost (excluding leasing) per acre for the 2008- 09 variety wise maize crops and their standard errors

| Variety of <br> maize | Total |  | Land <br> preparation |  | Seed \& seed related, <br> pesticide \& irrigation |  | Fertilizer |  |  | Harvesting, <br> thrashing \& others |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost | S.E | Cost | S.E | Cost | S.E | Cost | S.E | Cost | S.E |  |
| Composite | 15119 | 1.16964 | 1935 | 0.64555 | 3702 | 0.95363 | 1576 | 1.82560 | 3009 | 0.63129 |  |
| HYV | 28441 | 0.51492 | 1872 | 0.44140 | 4423 | 0.08259 | 1897 | 0.38704 | 4280 | 0.30657 |  |
| Combined | 27175 | 0.23060 | 1878 | 0.11756 | 4354 | 0.14148 | 1867 | 0.31910 | 4159 | 0.11679 |  |

The above table shows that the average production cost per acre for composite maize of 15119 taka is significantly different from the 28441 taka average production cost for HYV maize crops at $95 \%$ confidence level. The average production cost per acre of HYV and composite maize are almost double. The standard error of composite maize per acre is 1.16964 due to low representation in the sample.

However the estimated production cost per acre for composite \& HYV maize production cost were subject to higher standard errors than for HYV maize crop. Production cost for all estimates have acceptable reliability in terms of sampling error.

## Chapter-IV

## Statistical Table

## Statistical Table

Table-4.1A. Per acre land preparation cost of Maize by size of land planted.
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land planted <br> (Combined) | Plough | Power tiller | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 571 | 1571 | 143 | 2286 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 473 | 1308 | 347 | 2129 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 416 | 1016 | 291 | 1723 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 519 | 1057 | 267 | 1843 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 293 | 1303 | 234 | 1830 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 330 | 1138 | 260 | 1728 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 0 | 1545 | 303 | 1848 |
| $7.50+$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average | 417 | 1169 | 292 | 1878 |

Table-4.1B. Per acre land preparation cost of Maize by size of land planted.
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land planted <br> (Composite) | Plough | Power tiller | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 1000 | 1143 |  | 2143 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 464 | 1265 | 356 | 2084 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 114 | 1571 | 190 | 1875 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 380 | 1221 | 243 | 1844 |
| $1.50-2.49$ |  | 1725 | 225 | 1951 |
| $2.50-4.99$ |  | 1625 | 250 | 1875 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 205 | 1417 | 252 | 1935 |

Table-4.1C. Per acre land preparation cost of Maize by size of land planted.
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land <br> planted (HYV) | Plough | Power tiller | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 357 | 1786 | 214 | 2357 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 474 | 1312 | 347 | 2132 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 447 | 959 | 302 | 1707 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 532 | 1042 | 269 | 1843 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 333 | 1246 | 235 | 1813 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 382 | 1061 | 262 | 1705 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  | 1545 | 303 | 1848 |
| $7.50+$ | 440 | 1136 |  |  |
| Average |  |  | 296 | 1872 |

Table-4.1D. Per acre land preparation cost by size of land planted.
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land <br> planted (Own) | Plough | Power tiller | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 706 | 1471 | 176 | 2353 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 435 | 1337 | 353 | 2125 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 520 | 838 | 267 | 1625 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 574 | 966 | 223 | 1763 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 339 | 1189 | 238 | 1767 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 326 | 1204 | 283 | 1813 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  | 1545 | 303 | 1848 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 443 | 1123 | 284 | 1851 |

Table-4.1E. Per acre land preparation cost by size of land planted.
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land <br> planted (others) | Plough | Power tiller | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 0 | 2000 | 0 | 2000 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 631 | 1187 | 324 | 2143 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 237 | 1322 | 332 | 1890 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 389 | 1272 | 372 | 2033 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 118 | 1734 | 215 | 2067 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 343 | 1329 | 185 | 1857 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 345 | 1296 | 312 | 1953 |

Table-4.2A. Per acre seed and sowing cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land planted <br> (Combined) | Seed |  | Seed <br> sowing (Tk) | Total <br> $(\mathrm{Tk})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Quantity (Kg) | Cost (Tk) |  | 1067 |
| $<=0.04$ | 8.50 | 2495 |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 8.00 | 1543 | 845 | 2388 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 8.00 | 1525 | 766 | 2291 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 7.50 | 1558 | 772 | 2330 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 7.50 | 1609 | 721 | 2330 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 7.50 | 1579 | 794 | 2373 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 7.50 | 1523 | 938 | 2461 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 8.00 | 1553 | 789 | 2342 |

Table-4.2B. Per acre seed and sowing cost by land size of maize crops, 2008-09

| Size of land planted <br> (Composite) | Seed |  | Seed sowing <br> Tk) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total <br> (Tk) |  |  |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 9.00 | 1429 | 800 | 2229 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 9.00 | 1402 | 631 | 2033 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 7.50 | 1282 | 563 | 1846 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 7.50 | 1371 | 587 | 1957 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 7.50 | 1333 | 471 | 1804 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 7.50 | 1125 | 650 | 1775 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 8.00 | 1312 | 579 | 1891 |

Table-4.2C. Per acre seed and sowing cost by land size.

| Size of land planted <br> $(\mathrm{HYV})$ | Seed |  | Seed sowing <br> $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | Total (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Quantity (Kg) | Cost (Tk) |  |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 8.50 | 1429 | 1200 | 2629 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 8.00 | 1555 | 864 | 2419 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 8.00 | 1550 | 787 | 2337 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 7.50 | 1576 | 790 | 2366 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 7.50 | 1647 | 755 | 2402 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 7.50 | 1651 | 816 | 2467 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 7.50 | 1523 | 938 | 2461 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 8.00 | 1578 | 811 | 2389 |

Table-4.2D. Per acre seed and sowing cost by land size.

| Size of land <br> planted (Own) | Seed |  | Seed <br> sowing Tk) | Total (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Quantity (Kg) | Cost (Tk) | 1129 | 2600 |
| $0.05-04$ | 8.50 | 1471 | 851 | 2406 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 8.00 | 1554 | 742 | 2213 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 7.50 | 1470 | 768 | 2275 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 7.50 | 1507 | 723 | 2306 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 7.50 | 1583 | 845 | 2476 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 7.50 | 1631 | 938 | 2461 |
| $7.50+$ | 7.50 | 1523 |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  | 2330 |

Table-4.2E. Per acre seed and sowing cost by land size.

| Size of land <br> planted (Others) | Seed |  | Seed sowing <br> (Tk) | Total (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Quantity <br> $(\mathrm{Kg})$ | Cost (Tk) |  |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 9.00 | 1250 | 800 | 2050 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 8.00 | 1497 | 818 | 2315 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 8.00 | 1619 | 807 | 2426 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 8.00 | 1683 | 781 | 2464 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 7.50 | 1708 | 714 | 2422 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 7.50 | 1414 | 629 | 2043 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 8.00 | 1597 | 778 | 2375 |

Table-4.3A. Per acre insecticide, irrigation and others cost by land size.
( Fig in Tk )

| Size of land planted <br> (Combined) | Insecticide | Irrigation | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 190 | 1429 | 286 | 1905 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 446 | 1217 | 315 | 1978 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 483 | 1246 | 357 | 2086 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 494 | 1128 | 346 | 1968 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 415 | 1169 | 381 | 1964 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 556 | 1111 | 400 | 2067 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 441 | 1016 | 430 | 1887 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 471 | 1189 | 352 | 2012 |

Table-4.3B. Per acre insecticide, irrigation and others cost by land size.
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land planted <br> (Composite) | Insecticide | Irrigation | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 0 | 1143 | 250 | 1343 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 359 | 1188 | 156 | 1703 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 592 | 1076 | 248 | 1916 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 427 | 1191 | 135 | 1753 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 235 | 1196 | 373 | 1804 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 500 | 1000 | 375 | 1875 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ | 431 | 1132 | 248 | 1811 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |

Table-4.3C. Per acre insecticide, irrigation and others cost by land size.
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land <br> planted (HYV) | Insecticide | Irrigation | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 286 | 1571 | 429 | 2286 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 454 | 1219 | 329 | 2002 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 472 | 1564 | 368 | 2104 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 501 | 1122 | 367 | 1989 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 439 | 1165 | 382 | 1986 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 565 | 1128 | 404 | 2096 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 441 | 1016 | 430 | 1887 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 475 | 1196 | 363 | 2034 |

Table-4.3D. Per acre insecticide, irrigation and others cost by land size of maize crops,2008-09
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land <br> planted (Own) | Insecticide | Irrigation | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 235 | 1294 | 353 | 1882 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 448 | 1188 | 332 | 1968 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 455 | 1259 | 361 | 2075 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 485 | 1056 | 336 | 1877 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 440 | 1135 | 384 | 1958 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 611 | 1119 | 459 | 2188 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 441 | 1016 | 430 | 1887 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 471 | 1165 | 363 | 1998 |

Table-4.3E. Per acre insecticide, irrigation and others cost by land size of maize crops, 2008-09
(Fig in Tk)

| Size of land planted <br> (others) | Insecticide | Irrigation | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 0 | 2000 | 0 | 2000 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 437 | 1335 | 246 | 2018 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 531 | 1224 | 351 | 2106 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 518 | 1303 | 372 | 2193 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 320 | 1296 | 370 | 1987 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 380 | 1086 | 214 | 1680 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 471 | 1259 | 323 | 2052 |

Table-4.4A. Per acre quantity $(\mathrm{Kg})$ of fertilizer used and cost by Size of land planted of maize crops, 2008-09

| Size of land planted (Combined) | Urea |  | TSP |  | Organic Cost (Tk) | Others (Tk) | Total (Tk) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qty(Kg) | Cost <br> (Tk) | Qty (Kg) | Cost <br> (Tk) |  |  |  |
| < $=0.04$ | 67 | 871 | 19 | 181 | 381 | 233 | 1667 |
| 0.05-0.49 | 96 | 1164 | 20 | 170 | 391 | 240 | 1965 |
| 0.50-0.99 | 90 | 1083 | 14 | 124 | 264 | 295 | 1766 |
| 1.00-1.49 | 84 | 1008 | 14 | 132 | 301 | 421 | 1861 |
| 1.50-2.49 | 83 | 1018 | 13 | 144 | 304 | 497 | 1963 |
| 2.50-4.99 | 77 | 927 | 14 | 154 | 218 | 477 | 1775 |
| 5.00-7.49 | 98 | 1172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 552 | 1724 |
| 7.50 + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 89 | 1069 | 15 | 142 | 302 | 353 | 1867 |

Table-4.4B. Per acre quantity $(\mathrm{Kg})$ of fertilizer used and cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land planted (Composite) | Urea |  | TSP |  | Organic Cost (Tk) | Others (Tk) | Total (Tk) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qty (Kg) | Cost <br> (Tk) | Qty (Kg) | Cost <br> (Tk) |  |  |  |
| < $=0.04$ | 71 | 1071 | 14 | 214 | 214 | 129 | 1629 |
| 0.05-0.49 | 98 | 1181 | 22 | 228 | 315 | 292 | 2015 |
| 0.50-0.99 | 68 | 801 | 6 | 72 | 127 | 400 | 1400 |
| 1.00-1.49 | 58 | 708 | 11 | 161 | 438 | 445 | 1752 |
| 1.50-2.49 | 61 | 761 | 6 | 89 | 200 | 371 | 1421 |
| 2.50-4.99 | 50 | 750 | 10 | 188 | 125 | 400 | 1463 |
| 5.00-7.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 70 | 861 | 11 | 143 | 197 | 376 | 1576 |

Table-4.4C. Per acre quantity ( Kg ) of fertilizer used $\&$ cost by land size of maize crops, 2008-09

| Size of land planted (HYV) | Urea |  | TSP |  | Organic Cost (Tk) | Others (Tk) | Total <br> (Tk) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qty (Kg) | Cost <br> (Tk) | Qty (Kg) | Cost (Tk) |  |  |  |
| <=0.04 | 64 | 771 | 21 | 164 | 464 | 286 | 1686 |
| 0.05-0.49 | 96 | 1163 | 19 | 165 | 397 | 235 | 1961 |
| 0.50-0.99 | 92 | 1112 | 14 | 130 | 278 | 285 | 1804 |
| 1.00-1.49 | 86 | 1036 | 14 | 129 | 288 | 419 | 1872 |
| 1.50-2.49 | 86 | 1054 | 14 | 152 | 345 | 514 | 2064 |
| 2.50-4.99 | 82 | 955 | 15 | 148 | 233 | 489 | 1824 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 98 | 1172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 552 | 1724 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 91 | 1091 | 16 | 142 | 313 | 351 | 1897 |

Table-4.4D. Per acre quantity ( Kg ) of fertilizer used and cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land planted (Own) | Urea |  | TSP |  | Organic Cost (Tk) | Others (Tk) | Total (Tk) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qty (Kg) | Cost <br> (Tk) | Qty (Kg) | Cost <br> (Tk) |  |  |  |
| <= 0.04 | 65 | 812 | 18 | 135 | 471 | 288 | 1706 |
| 0.05-0.49 | 97 | 1169 | 20 | 166 | 405 | 246 | 1986 |
| 0.50-0.99 | 95 | 1151 | 15 | 142 | 267 | 248 | 1809 |
| 1.00-1.49 | 81 | 971 | 15 | 146 | 290 | 433 | 1840 |
| 1.50-2.49 | 86 | 1051 | 13 | 139 | 291 | 475 | 1956 |
| 2.50-4.99 | 79 | 922 | 17 | 168 | 264 | 554 | 1908 |
| 5.00-7.49 | 98 | 1172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 552 | 1724 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 90 | 1087 | 16 | 149 | 311 | 353 | 1899 |

Table-4.4E. Per acre quantity $(\mathrm{Kg})$ of fertilizer used and cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted (Others) | Urea |  | TSP |  | Organic <br> Cost <br> (Tk) | Others <br> $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | Total <br> $(\mathrm{Tk})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Qty $(\mathrm{Kg})$ | Cost <br> $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | Qty(Kg) | Cost <br> $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | (Tk |  |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 75 | 1125 | 22 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 1500 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 95 | 1147 | 20 | 190 | 330 | 213 | 1880 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 81 | 966 | 11 | 93 | 258 | 375 | 1693 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 92 | 1100 | 10 | 97 | 327 | 389 | 1914 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 73 | 896 | 16 | 165 | 349 | 579 | 1990 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 71 | 943 | 9 | 107 | 271 | 229 | 1550 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 84 | 1019 | 13 | 124 | 280 | 354 | 1776 |

Table-4.5A. Per acre cost of weeding, harvesting, thrashing \& others cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land planted <br> (Combined) | Weeding | Harvesting | Thrashing | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 1500 | 1652 | 919 | 429 | 4500 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 1383 | 1621 | 886 | 539 | 4430 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1256 | 1579 | 822 | 521 | 4178 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1311 | 1468 | 817 | 443 | 4040 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1275 | 1513 | 782 | 321 | 3892 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1231 | 1422 | 805 | 406 | 3865 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1200 | 2000 | 1000 | 244 | 4444 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1301 | 1554 | 835 | 468 | 4159 |

Table-4.5B. Per acre cost of weeding, harvesting, thrashing $\&$ others cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Composite) | Weeding | Harvesting | Thrashing | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 1357 | 1100 | 900 | 0 | 3357 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 1152 | 1002 | 667 | 190 | 3011 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1000 | 1013 | 600 | 390 | 3003 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1500 | 1046 | 472 | 0 | 3018 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1373 | 1029 | 529 | 0 | 2931 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1250 | 1100 | 600 | 150 | 3100 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1218 | 1031 | 584 | 176 | 3009 |

Table-4.5C. Per acre cost of weeding, harvesting, thrashing \& others cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted (HYV) | Weeding | Harvesting | Thrashing | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 1571 | 1929 | 929 | 643 | 5071 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 1404 | 1675 | 906 | 570 | 4554 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1283 | 1637 | 845 | 535 | 4300 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1293 | 1508 | 850 | 486 | 4138 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1261 | 1579 | 817 | 365 | 4022 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1228 | 1473 | 838 | 446 | 3985 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1200 | 2000 | 1000 | 244 | 4444 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1310 | 1609 | 862 | 499 | 4280 |

Table-4.5D. Per acre cost of weeding, harvesting, thrashing \& others cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted (Own) | Weeding | Harvesting | Thrashing | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 1559 | 1782 | 924 | 529 | 4794 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 1380 | 1633 | 895 | 524 | 4432 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1242 | 1612 | 813 | 498 | 4166 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1299 | 1478 | 830 | 429 | 4037 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1262 | 1579 | 817 | 363 | 4021 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1232 | 1510 | 850 | 506 | 4097 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1200 | 2000 | 1000 | 244 | 4444 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1296 | 1590 | 850 | 469 | 4205 |

Table-4.5E. Per acre cost of weeding, harvesting, thrashing \& others cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted (Others) | Weeding | Harvesting | Thrashing | Others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0.04$ | 1250 | 1100 | 900 | 0 | 3250 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 1398 | 1571 | 850 | 605 | 4423 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1280 | 1522 | 836 | 560 | 4198 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1342 | 1443 | 784 | 478 | 4047 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1323 | 1266 | 649 | 164 | 3402 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1229 | 1143 | 664 | 86 | 3121 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1316 | 1454 | 793 | 466 | 4029 |

Table-4.6A.Number of labour engaged $\&$ cost in weeding by size of land planted.

| size of land planted <br> $($ Combined $)$ | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 12 | 5 | 17 | 1500 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 6 | 9 | 15 | 1383 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 2 | 7 | 9 | 1256 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1311 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 2 | 7 | 9 | 1275 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 1231 |
| $7.50+$ | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1200 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |

Table-4.6B. Number of labour engaged \& cost in weeding by size of land planted.

| size of land planted <br> (Composite) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $\langle=0.04$ | 16 | 0 | 16 | 1357 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 9 | 4 | 13 | 1152 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | 1000 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 1500 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 1373 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 1250 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 5 | 4 | 9 | 1218 |

Table-4.6C. Number of labour engaged \& cost in weeding by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted (HYV) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 12 | 5 | 17 | 1571 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 6 | 9 | 15 | 1404 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 2 | 7 | 9 | 1283 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1 | 8 | 9 | 1293 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 2 | 7 | 9 | 1261 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 1228 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1200 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 3 | 8 | 11 | 1310 |

Table-4.6D.Number of labour engaged \& cost in weeding by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted (Own) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 12 | 5 | 17 | 1559 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 6 | 10 | 16 | 1380 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 2 | 7 | 9 | 1242 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1299 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1262 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1232 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1200 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  | 11 |
| Average | 3 | 8 | 11 | 1296 |

Table-4.6E.Number of labour engaged \& cost in weeding by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Others) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 11 | 6 | 17 | 1250 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 6 | 8 | 14 | 1398 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | 1280 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 2 | 7 | 9 | 1342 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | 1323 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | 1229 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ | 4 | 6 |  | 10 |
| Average |  |  | 9 | 1316 |

Table-4.7A.Number of labour engaged $\&$ cost in harvesting by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Combined) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 10 | 7 | 17 | 1652 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 8 | 7 | 15 | 1621 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 4 | 9 | 13 | 1579 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 3 | 9 | 12 | 1468 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1 | 10 | 11 | 1513 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1 | 9 | 10 | 1422 |
| $7.50+$ | 1 | 9 | 10 | 2000 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |

Table-4.7B.Number of labour engaged in harvesting by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Composite) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 12 | 5 | 17 | 1100 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 7 | 7 | 14 | 1002 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 5 | 7 | 12 | 1013 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 4 | 6 | 10 | 1046 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 2 | 8 | 10 | 1029 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1 | 9 | 10 | 1100 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 4 | 7 | 11 | 1031 |

Table-4.7C.Number of labour engaged \& cost in harvesting by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(HYV) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $=0.04$ | 10 | 7 | 17 | 1929 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 6 | 10 | 16 | 1675 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 4 | 9 | 13 | 1637 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 3 | 10 | 13 | 1508 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1 | 10 | 11 | 1579 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1 | 10 | 11 | 1473 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 0 | 10 | 10 | 2000 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 4 | 9 | 13 | 1609 |

Table-4.7D. Number of labour engaged in harvesting by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Own) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 10 | 8 | 18 | 1782 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 5 | 11 | 15 | 1633 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 3 | 11 | 14 | 1612 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 2 | 12 | 14 | 1478 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 0 | 13 | 13 | 1579 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 0 | 12 | 12 | 1510 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 0 | 11 | 11 | 2000 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 4 | 11 | 15 | 1590 |

Table-4.7E. Number of labour engaged \& cost in harvesting by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Others) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 10 | 5 | 15 | 1100 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 7 | 8 | 15 | 1571 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 4 | 9 | 13 | 1522 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 3 | 9 | 12 | 1443 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1 | 8 | 9 | 1266 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1143 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  |

Table-4.8A.Number of labour engaged \& cost in thrashing by size of land planted.

| Size of land planted(Combined) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| < $=0.04$ | 6 | 5 | 11 | 919 |
| 0.05-0.49 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 886 |
| 0.50-0.99 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 822 |
| 1.00-1.49 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 817 |
| 1.50-2.49 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 782 |
| 2.50-4.99 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 805 |
| 5.00-7.49 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1000 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 3 | 6 | 9 | 835 |

Table-4.8B.Number of labour engaged \& cost in thrashing by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Composite) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 6 | 2 | 8 | 900 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 4 | 2 | 6 | 667 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 2 | 4 | 6 | 600 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1 | 3 | 4 | 472 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1 | 3 | 4 | 529 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1 | 3 | 4 | 600 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 2 | 3 | 5 | 584 |

Table-4.8C.Number of labour engaged \& cost in thrashing by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted (HYV) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 8 | 4 | 12 | 929 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 6 | 4 | 11 | 906 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 3 | 5 | 8 | 845 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 850 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 817 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 838 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  | 7 | 7 | 1000 |
| $7.50+$ | 3 | 6 |  | 10 |

Table-4.8D. Number of labour engaged \& cost in thrashing by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Own) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 6 | 5 | 11 | 924 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 4 | 5 | 9 | 895 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 3 | 5 | 8 | 813 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 830 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 817 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 850 |
| $7.50+$ |  | 7 | 7 | 1000 |
| Average | 3 | 6 |  |  |

Table-4.8E.Number of labour engaged \& cost in Thrashing by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Others) | Number of labour |  |  | Cost (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Family | Hired | Total |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 7 | 4 | 11 | 900 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 6 | 4 | 10 | 850 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 836 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1 | 5 | 6 | 784 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1 | 4 | 5 | 649 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1 | 4 | 5 | 664 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 2 | 4 | 6 | 793 |

Table-4.9A. Per acre major head wise production cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land Planted (Combined) | Major head wise Production cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land preparation | Seed related | Insecticide, irrigation \& others | Fertilizer | Weeding, harvesting, thrashing \& others | Total |
| < $=0.04$ | 2286 | 2495 | 1905 | 1667 | 4500 | 12853 |
| 0.05-0.49 | 2129 | 2388 | 1978 | 1965 | 4430 | 12893 |
| 0.50-0.99 | 1723 | 2291 | 2086 | 1766 | 4178 | 12244 |
| 1.00-1.49 | 1843 | 2330 | 1968 | 1861 | 4040 | 12042 |
| 1.50-2.49 | 1830 | 2330 | 1964 | 1963 | 3892 | 11979 |
| 2.50-4.99 | 1728 | 2373 | 2067 | 1775 | 3865 | 11808 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1848 | 2461 | 1887 | 1724 | 4444 | 12364 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1878 | 2342 | 2012 | 1867 | 4159 | 12258 |

Table-4.9B. Per acre major head wise production cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted <br> (Composite) | Major head wise Production cost <br> preparation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Seed <br> related | Insecticid, <br> irrigation <br> \& others | Fertilizer | Weeding, <br> harvesting, <br>  <br> others | Total |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 2143 | 2229 | 1143 | 1629 | 3357 | 10501 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 2084 | 2033 | 1703 | 2015 | 3011 | 10846 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1875 | 1846 | 1916 | 1400 | 3003 | 10040 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1844 | 1957 | 1753 | 1752 | 3018 | 10324 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1951 | 1804 | 1804 | 1421 | 2931 | 9911 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1875 | 1775 | 1875 | 1563 | 3100 | 10180 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1935 | 1891 | 1811 | 1576 |  | 3009 |

Table-4.9C. Per acre major head wise production cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted <br> (HYV) | Major head wise Production cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Land <br> preparation | Seed <br> related | Insecticide, <br>  <br> others | Fertilizer | Weeding, <br> harvesting, <br> thrashing <br> $\&$ others | Total |
| $<=0.04$ | 2357 | 2629 | 2286 | 1686 | 5071 | 14029 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 2132 | 2419 | 2002 | 1961 | 4554 | 13068 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1707 | 2337 | 2104 | 1804 | 4330 | 12282 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1843 | 2366 | 1989 | 1872 | 4138 | 12208 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1813 | 2402 | 1986 | 2064 | 4022 | 12287 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1705 | 2467 | 2096 | 1824 | 3985 | 12077 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1848 | 2461 | 1887 | 1724 | 4444 | 12366 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1872 | 2389 | 2034 | 1897 | 4280 | 12472 |

Table-4.9D. Per acre major head wise production cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Own) | Major head wise Production cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Land <br> preparation | Seed <br> related | Insecticide, <br>  <br> others | Fertilizer | Weeding, <br> harvesting, <br>  <br> others | Total |
| $<=0.04$ | 2353 | 2600 | 1882 | 1706 | 4794 | 13335 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 2125 | 2406 | 1968 | 1986 | 4432 | 12912 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1625 | 2213 | 2075 | 1809 | 4166 | 11886 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1763 | 2275 | 1877 | 1840 | 4037 | 11792 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1767 | 2306 | 1958 | 1956 | 4021 | 12008 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1813 | 2476 | 2188 | 1908 | 4097 | 12482 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1848 | 2461 | 1887 | 1724 | 4444 | 12364 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1851 | 2330 | 1998 | 1899 | 4205 | 12283 |

Table-4.9E. Per acre major head wise production cost by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted <br> (Others) | Major head wise Production cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Land <br> preparation | Seed <br> related | Insecticid, <br> irrigation <br> \& others | Fertilizer | Weeding, <br> harvesting, <br>  <br> others | Total |
| $<=0.04$ | 2000 | 2050 | 2000 | 1500 | 3250 | 10800 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 2143 | 2315 | 2018 | 1880 | 4423 | 12779 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1890 | 2426 | 2106 | 1693 | 4198 | 12313 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 2033 | 2464 | 2193 | 1914 | 4047 | 12651 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 2067 | 2422 | 1987 | 1990 | 3402 | 11868 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1857 | 2043 | 1680 | 1650 | 3121 | 10351 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1953 | 2375 | 2052 | 1776 |  | 4029 |

Table-4.10A.Per acre production \& by production value and by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Combined) | Production |  | By Production |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Qty.(Kg) | Value <br> (Tk) | Qty.(Kg) | Value <br> (Tk) |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 2248 | 22805 | 1467 | 1467 | 24271 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 2593 | 27369 | 1286 | 1606 | 28974 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 2591 | 26371 | 1441 | 1473 | 27843 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 2299 | 23068 | 1314 | 1289 | 24358 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 2148 | 23863 | 1342 | 1297 | 25159 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 2504 | 26387 | 1362 | 1367 | 27755 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 2288 | 27437 | 1270 | 1133 | 28570 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 2464 | 25738 | 1350 | 1437 | 27175 |

Table-4.10B.Per acre production \& by production value and by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted <br> (Composite) | Production |  | By Production |  | Total <br> Value (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Qty.(Kg) | Value (Tk) | Qty.(Kg) | Value (Tk) |  |  |
| Valu.04 | 1457 | 17486 | 1257 | 1257 | 18743 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 1420 | 14028 | 1340 | 1367 | 15395 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1481 | 15139 | 1514 | 1211 | 16350 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1461 | 14376 | 1000 | 800 | 15176 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1351 | 12745 | 1176 | 1035 | 13780 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 1300 | 13000 | 1000 | 800 | 13800 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1414 | 14025 | 1257 | 1094 | 15119 |

Table-4.10C.Per acre production \& by production value and by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(HYV) | Production |  | By Production |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Value (Tk) | Qty.(Kg) | Value (Tk) | Value(Tk) |  |
| $\langle=0.04$ | 2643 | 26964 | 1571 | 1571 | 28636 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 2695 | 28531 | 1281 | 1626 | 30158 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 2707 | 27535 | 1433 | 1500 | 29034 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 2378 | 24886 | 1343 | 1335 | 25221 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 2256 | 25377 | 1365 | 1332 | 26709 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 2694 | 28497 | 1421 | 1457 | 29963 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 2288 | 27437 | 1270 | 1133 | 28570 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 2574 | 26968 | 1360 | 1473 | 28441 |

Table-4.10D. Per acre production \& by production value and by size of land planted.

| size of land <br> planted(own) | Production |  | By Production |  | Total Value <br> (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 0.04 |  | Qty.(Kg) | Value (Tk) |  | Qty.(Kg) |

Table-4.10E.Per acre production \& by production value and by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Others) | Production |  | By Production |  | Total <br> Qalue (Tk) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Value (Tk) | Qty.(Kg) | Value (Tk) | Value |  |
| $=0.04$ | 1800 | 21000 | 1000 | 1000 | 22000 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 2341 | 28942 | 1230 | 1414 | 27365 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 2318 | 23923 | 1528 | 1701 | 25634 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1946 | 21136 | 1570 | 1525 | 22661 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 2450 | 23062 | 1072 | 1329 | 24390 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 2414 | 22471 | 871 | 697 | 23169 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 2276 | 23597 | 1362 | 1476 | 25073 |

Table-4.11A. Per acre number of plots of tenure ship by size of land planted

| Size of land planted <br> (Combined) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Own | Share | Mortgage | Lease | Others |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 305 | 30 | 17 | 13 | 7 | 372 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 79 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 129 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 35 | 8 |  | 4 | 3 | 50 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 19 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 24 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 8 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 10 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 452 | 67 | 21 | 26 | 26 | 592 |

Table-4.11B. Per acre number of plots of tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Composite) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Own | Share | Mortgage | Lease | Others |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 24 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 33 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 3 | 0 |  | 1 | 7 | 11 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 4 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |
| $2.50-4.99$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ | 30 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 15 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 54 |  |

Table-4.11C. Per acre number of plots of tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted <br> (HYV) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Own | Share | Mortgage | Lease | Others |  |
| $=0.04$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 281 | 26 | 15 | 13 | 4 | 339 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 76 | 25 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 118 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 34 | 7 |  | 4 | 1 | 46 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 18 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 21 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 8 | 1 |  |  |  | 9 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 422 | 61 | 19 | 25 | 11 | 538 |

Table-4.12A.Total sample area in acres of tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted <br> (Combined) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Own | Share | Mortgage | Lease | Others |  |
| $0=0.04$ | 0.17 | 0.04 |  |  |  | 0.21 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 68.16 | 6.97 | 3.91 | 3.58 | 2.09 | 84.71 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 52.75 | 14.21 | 2.17 | 5.26 | 9.24 | 83.63 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 37.12 | 8.00 |  | 4.24 | 3.40 | 52.76 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 33.63 | 3.81 |  | 1.50 | 3.60 | 42.54 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 22.39 | 3.00 |  |  | 4.00 | 29.39 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 5.12 | 0 |  |  |  | 5.12 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 219.34 | 36.03 | 6.08 | 14.58 | 22.33 | 298.36 |

Table-4.12B.Total sample area in acres of tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Composite) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Own | Share | Mortgage | Lease | Others |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 0.03 |  |  |  |  | 0.07 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 4.50 | 0.04 |  |  | 0.96 | 6.79 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 1.80 | 1.07 | 0.26 |  | 5.60 | 7.90 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 1.05 | 0 |  | 0.50 | 2.40 | 4.45 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 1.50 | 1.00 |  |  | 3.60 | 5.10 |
| $2.50-4.99$ |  |  |  |  | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 8.88 | 2.11 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 16.56 | 28.31 |

Table-4.12C. Total sample area in acres of tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(HYV) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Own | Share | Mortgage | Lease | Others |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 0.14 | 5.90 | 3.65 | 3.58 | 1.13 | 0.14 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 63.66 | 14.21 | 2.17 | 4.76 | 3.64 | 77.92 |
| $0.50-0.99$ | 50.95 | 7.00 |  | 4.24 | 1.00 | 75.73 |
| $1.00-1.49$ | 36.07 | 3.81 |  | 1.50 |  | 48.31 |
| $1.50-2.49$ | 32.13 | 3.00 |  |  |  | 37.44 |
| $2.50-4.99$ | 22.39 |  |  |  |  | 25.39 |
| $5.00-7.49$ | 5.12 |  |  |  |  | 5.12 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 210.46 | 33.92 | 5.82 | 14.08 | 5.77 | 270.05 |

Table-4.13A.Division wise number of plots of tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Combined) | Dotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Barisal | Chittagang | Dhaka | Khulna | Rajshahi | Sylhet |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 1 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  | 6 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 17 | 70 | 26 | 71 | 188 |  | 372 |
| $0.50-0.99$ |  | 18 | 6 | 7 | 98 |  | 129 |
| $1.00-1.49$ |  | 6 |  |  | 44 |  | 50 |
| $1.50-2.49$ |  | 6 |  |  | 18 |  | 24 |
| $2.50-4.99$ |  | 3 |  |  | 7 |  | 10 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 18 | 103 | 32 | 79 | 360 |  | 592 |

Table-4.13B.Division wise number of plots of tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(Composite) | Divsion |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Barisal | Chittagang | Dhaka | Khulna | Rajshahi | Sylhet |  |  |
| $\langle=0.04$ |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 1 | 4 | 4 |  | 24 |  | 33 |
| $0.50-0.99$ |  | 8 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 11 |
| $1.00-1.49$ |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| $1.50-2.49$ |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| $2.50-4.99$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 31 |  | 54 |

Table-4.13C. Division wise number of plots of tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted(HYV) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Barisal | Chittagang | Dhaka | Khulna | Rajshahi | Sylhet |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 16 | 66 | 22 | 71 | 164 |  | 339 |
| $0.50-0.99$ |  | 10 | 6 | 6 | 96 |  | 118 |
| $1.00-1.49$ |  | 4 |  |  | 42 |  | 46 |
| $1.50-2.49$ |  | 4 |  |  | 17 |  | 21 |
| $2.50-4.99$ |  | 2 |  |  | 7 |  | 9 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 17 | 86 | 28 | 78 | 329 |  | 538 |

Table-4.14A. Division wise sample area (acres) covered in tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted <br> (Combined) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Barisal | Chittagang | Dhaka | Khulna | Rajshahi | Sylhet |  |
| $<=0.04$ | 0.04 |  |  | 0.03 | 0.14 |  | 0.21 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 3.02 | 16.28 | 4.71 | 15.43 | 45.27 |  | 84.71 |
| $0.50-0.99$ |  | 11.75 | 3.66 | 4.59 | 63.63 |  | 83.63 |
| $1.00-1.49$ |  | 6.80 |  |  | 45.96 |  | 52.76 |
| $1.50-2.49$ |  | 11.30 |  |  | 31.24 |  | 42.54 |
| $2.50-4.99$ |  | 10.00 |  |  | 19.39 |  | 29.39 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  | 5.12 |  | 5.12 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 3.06 | 56.13 | 8.37 | 20.05 | 210.75 |  | 298.36 |

Table-4.14A. Division wise sample area (acres) covered in tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted <br> (Composite) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Barisal | Chittagang | Dhaka | Khulna | Rajshahi | Sylhet |  |
| $<=0.04$ |  |  |  |  | 0.07 |  | 0.07 |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 0.24 | 1.60 | 0.51 |  | 4.44 |  | 6.79 |
| $0.50-0.99$ |  | 6.40 |  | 0.50 | 1.00 |  | 7.90 |
| $1.00-1.49$ |  | 2.40 |  |  | 2.05 |  | 4.45 |
| $1.50-2.49$ |  | 3.60 |  |  | 1.50 |  | 5.10 |
| $2.50-4.99$ |  | 4.00 |  |  |  |  | 4.00 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 0.24 | 18.00 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 9.06 |  | 28.31 |

Table-4.14A. Division wise sample area (acres) covered in tenure ship by size of land planted.

| Size of land <br> planted (HYV) | Divsion |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Barisal | Chittagang | Dhaka | Khulna | Rajshahi | Sylhet |  |
| $0.05-0.49$ | 0.74 |  |  | 0.03 | 0.07 |  | 0.14 |
| $0.50-0.99$ |  | 14.68 | 4.20 | 15.43 | 40.83 |  | 77.92 |
| $1.00-1.49$ |  | 5.35 | 3.66 | 4.09 | 62.63 |  | 75.73 |
| $1.50-2.49$ |  | 7.40 |  |  | 43.91 |  | 48.31 |
| $2.50-4.99$ |  | 6.00 |  |  | 29.74 |  | 37.44 |
| $5.00-7.49$ |  |  |  |  | 19.39 |  | 25.39 |
| $7.50+$ |  |  |  |  | 5.12 |  | 5.12 |
| Average | 2.82 | 38.13 | 7.86 | 19.55 | 201.69 |  | 270.05 |

## Annexure-A

## Concepts and Definitions

## Mauza:

Mauza is the demarcated lowest administrative territorial unit having separate jurisdiction list number (J.L.No.) in the revenue records. Every mauza has its well demarcated cadastral map. Mauza should be distinguished from local village since a mauza may consist of one or more villages or part of a village.

## Primary Sampling Units (PSUs):

100 Upzilas which have been selected at random from 64 districts are said to be PSUs.

## Secondary Sampling Units (SSUs):

100 Mauzas which have been selected from 100 PSUs are said to be SSUs.

## Ultimate Sampling Units (USUs):

250 households which have been selected from SSUs following the method of choosing the first one from the south-west corner of the SSU and then moving forwards following serpentine method until having 250 households are said to be USUs.

## Enumeration Areas (EAs):

EAs are nothing but the SSUs.

## Household (HH):

A household means a group of persons normally living together and eating in one mess (i.e. with common arrangement of cooking) with their dependents, relatives, servants etc. A household may be a one person household or a multi-person household. In other words, when a group of persons living together generally maintain a family or family like relations and take meals from the same kitchen is termed as a household. Popularly, it is descried as "Khana". In some cases there may be more than one household in a single house or in one dwelling arrangement. Similarly, a household may have more than one house or structure or shed.

The household must be distinguished from a family which consists of blood related members who may live in different places but members of the household must share the same kitchen and live together.

## Owned land:

Owned land means the area of the land owned by the holder including members of his family having a title of land with the right to determine the nature and extent of its use and to transfer the same. Moreover, there might be some land over which the holder or any member of his households has owner-like possession. This type of land was included in the area of owned land. The land held by the holder in owner like possession, can be operated by him in the same way as owned land although the holder does not possess a title of ownership.

## Share Cropping:

Land under share cropping is treated as the land which is cultivated under the condition of sharing the crops between land owner and the cultivator. The ratio of share cropping might vary from place to place. It might be one third $(1 / 3)$ or half $(1 / 2)$ or one two-thirds (2/3) between owner and cultivator.

## Mortgage:

The land which is taken in exchange of money paid by the mortgagee to the land owner for a fixed period of time under the condition that land would be released upon refunding the money to the mortgagee by the owner is considered as the land under mortgage.

## Lease:

The land which is taken by the cultivator from the owner in exchange of a certain amount of money for one year or for any period of time for the purpose of cultivating crop is treated as land under lease. Under this criterion, land will automatically be released from the occupancy of the cultivator after the certain period of time.

## Others:

The land which does not satisfy any of the four criterions mentioned earlier is treated as the land under others.

## Plot:

Usually land is divided into many pieces for the purposes of cultivation or distributions among the owners of land or making houses. These pieces are commonly called plots. A plot might comprise of land under many identification numbers (Dag Number) or there might have many plots under the land of single identification number. Even a household has many plots which are situated in different mauzas. It is mentionable that under this survey plot means the land in which Maize has been cultivated during the survey year.

## Annexure- B

## Statement-I

| Crop | 2005Cropped <br> area (acres) | Cropping <br> percent <br> $(\mathbf{p})$ | Minimum <br> Sample <br> size (n) | All farmers in <br> the Mouza(n1) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amon (4) | 10488754 | 35.00 | 612 | 9625 |
| Boro (3) | 9272497 | 30.90 | 575 | 8498 |
| Aus (2) | 2670787 | 8.90 | 220 | 2448 |
| Wheat | 897403 | 2.99 | 78 | 823 |
| Maize | 217060 | 0.72 | 19 | 198 |
| Pulses (10) | 700651 | 2.34 | 60 | 644 |
| Oil Seeds (12) | 1217233 | 4.06 | 103 | 1116 |
| Jute (3) | 1117109 | 3.72 | 96 | 1023 |
| Potato | 811061 | 2.70 | 71 | 742 |
| Onion | 265136 | 0.88 | 23 | 242 |
| Total |  |  | 1857 | 25358 |

Gross cropped area $\mathbf{- 2 , 9 9 , 9 0 , 1 7 0}$ acres

# Annexure-c <br> গণপ্রজাতন্ত্রী বাংলাদেশ সরকার <br> বাংলাদেশ পরিসংখ্যান ব্যুরো <br> কৃষি দাগগুচ্ছ হালনাগাদকরণ ও সম্প্রসারণ এবং উৎপাদন খরচ জরিপ প্রকল্প <br> পরিসংখ্যান ভবন (৭ম তলা, ব-ক-২) <br> ই-২৭/এ, আগারগাঁও, ঢাকা-১২০৭। <br> ভূঁ্টা উৎপাদন খরচ জরিপ, ২০০৯ 

প্রথম অংশ

## খানার পরিচিতি

খানার ক্রমমিক নম্বর ঃ $\square+\square$
খানা প্রধানের নাম ঃ $\qquad$ পিতা/স্ব|মীর নাম ঃ
জেলা $\qquad$ কোড $\square \square$ উপজেলা $\qquad$ কোড $\quad \square \square$
ইউনিয়ন $\qquad$ কোড $\square \square$ dৌজা/গ্রাম $\qquad$ কোড $\square \square \square$

দ্বিতীয় অংশ
১। ভুট্টার প্রকারভেদে জমির খন্ডের পরিমাণ, মালিকানা, চাষের ধরন এবং খরচ (টাকা)

| খल్ড | ভুট্টার <br> প্রকার <br> (কোড) | জমির <br> পরিমাণ <br> (কোড) | জমির <br> মালিকানা <br> (কোড) | नীজ নেয়া হলে বাৎসরিক কত টাকা দিতে হয় | চাষের ধরন (নিজস্ব হলে বাজার দরে লিখতে হবে) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | লাঙ্ |  | যান্ত্রিক |  | অন্যান্য | $\begin{aligned} & \text { মোট } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | সংখ্যা | $\begin{aligned} & \text { খরচ } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ | সংখ্যা | $\begin{aligned} & \text { খরচ } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { খরচ } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| J | ২ | $\bigcirc$ | 8 | ® | ৬ | 9 | $\checkmark$ | ৯ | ग० | $3 \pm$ |
| ১ম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| रয় |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ৩য় |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8र्थ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ৫ম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ভুট্টার প্রকারের কোড ঃ কম্পোজিড-১ ও হাই্ব্রীড-২
মালিকানা কোডঃ নিজস্ব-১, বর্গা-২, বঞ্ধক-৩, লীজ-8 এবং অন্যান্য-৫
২। বীজ, বীজ বপন, কীটনাশক এবং সেচ খরচ (টাকা)

| খन్ড | বীজ |  | বীজ বপন খরচ (টাকা) | কীটনাশক খরচ <br> (টাকা) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { সেচ খরচ } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ | অন্যান্য খরচ <br> (টাকা) | মোট খরচ <br> (টাকা) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | পরিমাণ (কেজি) | মূল্য (টাকা) |  |  |  |  |  |
| J | ২ | $\bigcirc$ | 8 | ® | $৬$ | 9 | b |
| ১ম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ২য় |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ৩য় |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8匂 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ৫ম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(পারিবারিক কর্মী হলে মজুরী বাজার দরে লিখতে হবে)
৩। সার ব্যবহারের পরিমাণ (কেজি) ও খরচ (টাকা)ঃ

| Vल | ইউর্নিয়া |  | ঢिএসপি |  | গোবর/জিব |  | অन्যান্য | $\begin{aligned} & \text { মোট } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | পর্রিমাণ(কেজি) | মূল্য(টাবা) | পরিমাণ(কেজি) | মুন্য(টlকা) | পরিমাণ(কেজি) | মূল্য(টাক) | মूন্য (টাকা) |  |
| ১ | २ | $\bigcirc$ | 8 | ® | ৬ | 9 | $\checkmark$ | ৯ |
| ১ম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ২য় |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ৩য় |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 疑 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ৫ম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

8। নিড়ানি/অগাছা পরিস্কার, উত্তোলন, মাড়াই এবং অন্যান্য কাজে নিত্যোজিত শ্রমিকের সংখ্যা ও খরচ (টাকা)

| খल్ড | নিড়ানি/জাগাছা পরিস্কার |  |  | উত্তোলন |  |  | মাড়াই |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { অন্যান্য } \\ & \text { খরচ } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { মোট } \\ & \text { খরচ } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | শ্রমিকের সংখ্যা |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { খরচ } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ | শ্রমিকের সংখ্যা |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { খরচ } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ | শ্রমিকের সংখ্যা |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { খরচ } \\ & \text { (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | পারিবারিক | ভাড়া |  | পারিবারিক | ভাড়া |  | পারিবারিক | ভাড়া |  |  |  |
| ১ | ২ | $\bigcirc$ | 8 | ® | ৬ | 9 | ৮ | ৯ | ১० | ১১ | ১২ |
| ১ম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ২য় |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ৩য় |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8र्थ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ৫ম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(পারিবারিক কর্মী হলে মজুরী বাজার দরে লিখতে হবে)

৫। উৎপাদিত ফসল (কেজি) এবং উপজাতের পরিমাণ (কেজি) ও মূল্য (টাকা)

| Vल్ড | ফসল (ভুটী) |  | উপজাত (ডাটি) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { মোট উৎপাদিত } \\ \text { দ্রব্যের মূল্য (টাকা) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | পরিমাণ (কেজি) | মূন্য (টlকা) | পরিমাণ (কেজি) | মূল্য (টাকা) |  |
| ১ | ২ | $\bigcirc$ | 8 | © | ৬ |
| ১ম |  |  |  |  |  |
| ২য় |  |  |  |  |  |
| ৩য় |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8र्थ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ৫ম |  |  |  |  |  |

৬। ভুট্টা মৌসুমে ভুঁ্টা চাষের জন্য এক একর জমি লীজ নিতে মালিককে কত টাকা দিতে হয়ঃ-

তথ্য সং্রহকারীর নাম $\qquad$
পদবী $\qquad$
তারিখ $\qquad$

সুপারভাইজারের নাম $\qquad$
পদবী $\qquad$

তারিখ $\qquad$
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